



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

09/705,466 11/03/2000 Edward C. Fisher TI-24980 6798  23494 7590 04/03/2002  TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265 THAI, LUAN C	0,7,700,7,100	Edward C. Fisher	TI-24980	6798
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999  THAL LUAN C	23494 7590 04			
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999		/2002		
	TEXAS INSTRUMENTS	EXAMINER		
<del></del>	P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265		THAI, I	LUAN C
ART UNIT PAPER NUME			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2827			2827	
DATE MAILED: 04/03/2002			DATE MAILED: 04/03/200	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	N	/
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/705,466	FISHER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Luan Thai	2827	
Period fo				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. n. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA mailing date of this communication, even if tin	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 4S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) <u></u> ☐		This action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur on of Claims	llowance except for formal matt nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are with			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
=	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
	ion Papers			
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2000</u>	② is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
1	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ di	sapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	oplication No	
*	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ol>	ial Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)	).
	a)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has b	een received.	
Attachme	nt(s)			
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
LIS Patent and	Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2827

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 1-10 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a).

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the features of "the device was attached to a wafer" in claim 2 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification, as originally filed, does not disclose that the device having at least one debris generating region comprises a sidewall formed where

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/705,466

Art Unit: 2827

the device was attached to a wafer, as recited in claim **2**. In fact, Applicant's specification states that the device 100 in figure 1 is a portion of a silicon wafer on which a micro-mechanical device has been formed (page 6, lines 15-17), and that the sidewall 110 is the portion of the device that is fractured when the device is separated from a silicon wafer (page 7, lines 1-2).

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim **2** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, the expression "the device having at least one debris generating region comprises a sidewall formed where the device was attached to a wafer" is unclear as to how the device could be attached to a wafer. Meanwhile, it appears from the specification that the sidewall 110 is the portion of the device that is fractured when the device is separated from a silicon wafer (page 7, lines 1-2).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2827

8. Claims 1-5 and 7-10, insofar as in compliance with 35 USC 112, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glenn et al. (6,117,705).

The figures and reference numbers referred to in this office action are used merely to indicate an example of a specific teaching and are not to be taken as limiting.

Regarding claims 1-4 and 7-10, Glenn et al. teach (specifically see figures 7 and 13, a method of protecting a micro electronic device, the method comprising: attaching a device 100 to a substrate 200, the device having a debris-intolerant region 105, wherein the limitation of "the device having at least one debris generating region (e.g., side-wall) which sources debris over the lifetime of the device" is taken to be inherent in the device 100 (e.g., the die 100) since the device 100 is a portion of a wafer form which the device is separated, and the side wall 104 of the device is inherently considered as a debrisgenerating region which source debris over the lifetime of the device. Glenn et al. further teach a step of electrically connecting bond pads on the substrate 200 with bond pads 103 on the device 100 using bond wires 208, and encapsulating the side wall 104 (e.g., the debris generating regions) and the bond wire 208 by using a photo-curable adhesive blocking material (Col. 6, lines 6+).

Although Glenn et al. do not explicitly state that the device is a micro-mechanical device, the recitation "micro-mechanical device" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim

Art Unit: 2827

does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

In addition, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Regarding claim 5, Glenn et al. further teach the adhesive material being Hysol adhesive which inherently has a tacky characteristic and this adhesive would be obvious to include a getting function.

9. Claim 6, insofar as in compliance with 35 USC 112, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glenn et al. (6,117,705) in view of Wark (5,817,540).

The figures and reference numbers referred to in this office action are used merely to indicate an example of a specific teaching and are not to be taken as limiting.

Art Unit: 2827

Regarding claim 6, Glenn et al. disclose(s) all the limitations of the claimed invention as detailed above except for the step of removing the block material from the debris-intolerant regions.

Wark while related to a similar semiconductor device design teaches a step of forming an insulative material on an active surface of a device can be followed by a step of removing the insulative material at a predetermined area in order to dispose the predetermined surface area. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Wark teachings to Glenn et al. method by adding the step of removing the unwanted material on the surface of the device in order to dispose the predetermined surface area.

- 10. The following reference(s) is/are cited as of interest to this application:
- U.S. Pat. No. 6,169,328 to Mitchell et al. is cited for showing the Hysol adhesive (epoxy) to have a tacky characteristic (Col. 14, lines 24+, and Col. 6, line 33+).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan Thai whose telephone number is (703) 308-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 2827

308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Luan Thai March 25, 2002

DAVID L. TALBOTT PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 368

187 UNII 3827